Pinellas County Schools

Gibbs High School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	12
	_
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Dudwat to Compart Cools	
Budget to Support Goals	0

Gibbs High School

850 34TH ST S, St Petersburg, FL 33711

http://www.gibbs-hs.pinellas.k12.fl.us

Demographics

Principal: Barry Brown

Start Date for this Principal: 5/12/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	Yes
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Black/African American Students Economically Disadvantaged Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Students With Disabilities White Students
School Grades History	2021-22: I (%) 2020-21: (44%) 2018-19: C (47%) 2017-18: C (49%)
ESSA Status	TS&I

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Pinellas County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive

Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

To create a collaborative environment where our scholars will display Respect, Excellence, and Pride.

Provide the school's vision statement.

We strive to prepare 100% of our scholars to achieve post-secondary readiness and become contributing members of society.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities	
Brown, Barry	Principal		As the principal, I partner with faculty and staff members to set measurable goals to support teaching and learning. While also overseeing the day to operations to ensure all members of our school community feel safe, appreciated, and supported.
Johnson, Nicole	Assistant Principal		Mrs. Johnson is the assistant principal for the Business Economics and Technology Academy (BETA) Magnet Program and she supervises the Math Department.
Machado, Michael	Assistant Principal		Mr. Machado is the assistant principal in charge of athletics and he supervises the science department.
Patrick, Dejuan	Assistant Principal		Mr. Patrick is the assistant principal for curriculum, he also supervises the social studies and ESE Department.
Weston, Derek	Assistant Principal		Mr. Weston is the assistant principal for the Pinellas Count Center for the Arts Program (PCCA).

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 5/12/2020, Barry Brown

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

73

Total number of students enrolled at the school

1,195

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

11

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator							Gra	ade	e L	evel				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	364	363	288	246	1261
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	145	193	164	150	652
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	61	50	17	173
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	61	55	16	200
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	44	27	8	136
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	82	0	0	174
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	42	0	0	123
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	137	0	0	286

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator							Gr	ade	e L	evel				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	225	198	199	175	797

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 7/12/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator							Gra	ade	e L	evel				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	292	343	261	250	1146
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	144	110	123	454
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	24	15	12	90
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	194	92	91	11	388
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	228	96	80	6	410
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	100	106	89	434
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	100	106	89	434
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	100	106	89	434

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator							Gra	ade	Le	vel				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	203	117	103	86	509

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator							Gra	ade	e L	evel				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	292	343	261	250	1146
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	144	110	123	454
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	24	15	12	90
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	194	92	91	11	388
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	228	96	80	6	410
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	100	106	89	434
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	100	106	89	434
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	100	106	89	434

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator							Gra	ade	Le	vel				Total
illuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	203	117	103	86	509

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement				38%			42%	56%	56%
ELA Learning Gains				40%			42%	51%	51%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				33%			28%	43%	42%
Math Achievement				22%			31%	45%	51%
Math Learning Gains				25%			42%	44%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				34%			42%	41%	45%
Science Achievement				43%			41%	64%	68%
Social Studies Achievement				55%			53%	71%	73%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

				ELA						
				School-		School-				
Grade	ade Year School		District	District	State	State				
				Comparison		Comparison				
	MATH									
				School-		School-				
Grade	Year	School	District	District	State	State				
				Comparison		Comparison				
				POIENCE						
		<u> </u>	S	Cabaal		Cabaal				
Crado	Voor	Cabaal	District	School- District	State	School- State				
Grade	Year	School	District		State					
				Comparison		Comparison				
			BIO	LOGY EOC						
				School		School				
Year	S	chool	District	Minus	State	Minus				
				District		State				
2022										
2019		43%	62%	-19%	67%	-24%				
	<u> </u>		CI	VICS EOC	•					
				School		School				
Year	S	chool	District	Minus	State	Minus				
				District		State				
2022										
2019										
			HIS	TORY EOC						
				School		School				
Year	S	chool	District	Minus	State	Minus				
				District		State				
2022										
2019	(60%	70%	-10%	70%	-10%				
		1	ALG	SEBRA EOC						
	_			School		School				
Year	S	chool	District	Minus	State	Minus				
0000				District		State				
2022		240/	EE0/	0.40/	040/	400/				
2019		21%	55%	-34%	61%	-40%				
	GEOMETRY EOC									
Year	School		District	School Minus	State	School Minus				
rear	3	CITOOI	שואנוזכנ	District	State	State				
2022				District		State				
2019		40%	56%	-16%	57%	-17%				
2018		TU /0	JU /0	-1070	31/0	-17/0				

Subgroup Data Review

2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	26	42	38	27	48		27	26		85	17
ASN	70	50									
BLK	18	32	28	17	34	31	27	30		89	33
HSP	43	52		54	55		64	83		89	75
MUL	50	23		57			87				
WHT	73	58		60	57		80	80		94	82
FRL	26	35	28	25	42	34	39	45		92	54
		2021	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	23	31	22	21	30	47	28	38		89	31
ELL	17	8		30	10						
ASN	80	50									
BLK	19	35	32	10	22	36	25	35		89	48
HSP	45	38		29	38		48	74		95	70
MUL	63	64		36			55				
WHT	76	51		55	33		81	89		92	81
FRL	26	35	29	15	26	36	31	39		88	47
		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	32	38	27	21	34	39	22	17		89	22
BLK	19	32	27	14	34	38	20	34		89	42
HSP	62	54		41	44		58	93		96	72
MUL	58	56		50						82	
WHT	79	55		70	57		79	92		96	82
FRL	30	36	27	22	40	39	29	38		87	45

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index			
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I		
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students			
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency			
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	467		

Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% On Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% On Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% On Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Mumber of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Federal Index - Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Native American Students	ESSA Federal Index	
Subgroup Data Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? YE Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%		10
Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Disability Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Disability Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Onumber of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	Percent Tested	93%
Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Disability Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Disability Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Onumber of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	Subgroup Data	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students		
English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% On Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% No Mative American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	37
English Language Learners Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% On Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% No Mative American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Federal Index - English Language Learners English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N// Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? YE Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% O Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? YE Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% O Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% O Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% O Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	English Language Learners	
Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% O Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Federal Index - English Language Learners	
Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% ONE Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% O Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students 64 Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% O Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% O Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% O Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% O Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Asian Students	
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Federal Index - Asian Students	60
Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? YENumber of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Black/African American Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Federal Index - Black/African American Students	34
Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Hispanic Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Federal Index - Hispanic Students	64
Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Multiracial Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Federal Index - Multiracial Students	54
Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students	Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Federal Index - Native American Students	Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
	Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A	Federal Index - Native American Students	
	Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A

Native American Students				
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students	73			
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	42			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%				

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Black Scholars, SWD, and economically disadvantaged scholars are performing below proficiency (41%) in all core content areas

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Black Scholars, SWD, and economically disadvantaged scholars performance below proficiency all core content areas.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The contributing factors are planning for benchmarks/standards base instruction and high yielding student engagement techniques that improve the classroom climate for our low performing ESSA Groups is not occurring consistently. Planning for benchmarks/standards base instruction and high yielding student engagement techniques that also improve the classroom climate and culture for our ESSA Groups would occur more effectively and efficiently proficiency levels would increase.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math Achievement for white scholars, ELA learning gains for Hispanic and Multi-Race Scholars.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Planning for benchmarks/standards base instruction and high yielding student engagement techniques that also improve the classroom climate and culture were the contributing factors.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

- 1. Benchmarks/Standards-Base Instruction
- 2. Climate and Culture
- 3. Scholar Engagement Strategies
- 4. Standards Based Planning

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Core Content instructors will be engaging in Pre-AP professional development to support their implementation of the Pre-AP instructional framework and utilization of Pre-AP Resources.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Core Content instructors will be engaging in Pre-AP professional development to support their implementation of the Pre-AP instructional framework and utilization of Pre-AP Resources.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data

Our current level of proficiency is 34%, as evidenced in the FSA EOC Math data. We expect our performance level to be 39% by May 2023 on the FSA EOC Math assessment. The problem/gap is occurring because teachers must improve their ability to monitor scholar learning and make real time instructional adjustments. Include a statement about student achievement in the area of focus.

Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The percentage of scholars achieving proficiency will increase from 34% to 39% as measured on the FSA Math EOCs of Algebra 1 and Geometry. Also, 100% of all graduating scholars will pass the Algebra EOC. Geometry EOC or earn a successful concordant score.

Monitoring:

reviewed.

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Monitoring will occur via teacher assessments, mini cycle assessments, cycle assessments and a variety of other formative assessments.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Nicole Johnson (johnsonni@pcsb.org)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

strategy.

Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus. Support staff to utilize data to organize scholars to interact with content in manners which differentiate/scaffold instruction to meet the needs of scholar (Scaffolding instruction using ALEKS and on grade level remediation using IXL)

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this

Learning gains and proficiency reflect the need to implement the abovementioned strategy

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Teachers engage in course-specific PLCs to review assessment data, develop progress monitoring formative assessments, identify trends and next steps.
- 2. Teachers who teach the same course utilize the same grading scales.
- 3. Teachers regularly incorporate checks for understanding through formative assessments and use the collected data to gauge scholar progress toward mastery of the course content.
- 4. Teachers conduct frequent data chats with scholars to offer support for student achievement and individualized goal setting.
- 5. Administrators monitor classrooms, provide constructive feedback and participate in teacher reflection to increase effective teaching practices.

- 6. Teachers utilize formative and summative assessments data to determine areas of low proficiency and remediation needs for scholars
- 7. Teachers committed to attending district provided professional development.
- 8. All non-advanced course teachers will implement the use of ALBERT IO for scholars at least 30 minutes per week and can be used in all Math courses to strength scholars on the college path.
- 9. Implement ACT Prep Bootcamps prior to every ACT test.
- 10. Teachers will implement Mathematical Thinking and Reasoning Standards on a daily basis to increase scholar engagement.

Person Responsible Nicole Johnson (johnsonni@pcsb.org)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it

was identified as a

Our current proficiency is 41% as evidenced by the Spring 2022 FSA ELA data. We expected our proficiency levels to 46% by May 2023 on the FAST assessment. The problem/gap is occurring because our scholars need updates on their progress and strategy implementation to increase their learning gains based on data chats. Another gap is the faculty's fidelity of implementation of the **critical need from the** professional development to support student growth.

data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific

the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

measurable outcome The percentage of all students reaching proficiency on the FSA ELA will increase from 41% to 46% as measured by the FAST assessment in May 2023

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be

monitored for the desired outcome.

Monitoring will occur through administrative walkthroughs, formative assessments, progress monitoring, PLC and collaborative planning documents, and FAST Assessment in May 2023.

Person responsible for monitoring

outcome:

Derek Weston (westond@pcsb.org)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Explain the rationale

for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the

resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Leadership will support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners that differentiate/scaffold instruction to meet the needs of each student. Leadership will support staff's ability to engage students with complex texts and rigorous activities through the Pre-AP curriculum.

Learning gains and proficiency data reflect a need to implement the abovementioned strategy

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. ELA and reading teachers PLC together monthly around data to determine school-wide trends, areas in need of improvement, and next steps
- 2. Literacy coaches and staff developers support teachers with differentiation, student-based learning, and monitoring toward mastery of Florida B.E.S.T. Standards
- 3. ELA and reading teachers provide formative assessments aligned to Florida B.E.S.T. Standards in

grades 6-12.

4. ELA teachers utilize data collected in the form of student artifacts from Core Connections exemplar lessons to determine student needs and adjust instruction

Person Responsible Derek Weston (westond@pcsb.org)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Social Studies

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Our current level of performance is 56% as evidenced in the 2022 US History

EOC data. We expect our performance level to be 62% by May 2023 on the US History EOC.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The proficiency rate on the 2021 US History EOC will increase by 6% by May 2023.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Monitoring will occur through administrative walkthroughs, formative assessments, progress monitoring, PLC and collaborative planning documents.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Dejuan Patrick (patrickd@pcsb.org)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Leadership will support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners that differentiate/scaffold instruction to meet the

various needs of each student.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy. All scholar proficiency levels will be placed in American History Honors course to ensure all students engage in standards base instruction, differentiation and scaffolding will be necessary to meet the various needs of the each individual learner.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

1. American History Instructors will engage in common planning PLC's to review pacing and devise an instructional plan of action based on curriculum guide pacing, content resources, and formative assessments.

Person Responsible

Dejuan Patrick (patrickd@pcsb.org)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus Description

and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Our current level of performance is 50% as evidenced in the 2022 Biology EOC data.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The percentage of all students achieving biology proficiency enrolled in Biology classes will increase from 50% to 55% as measured by Biology E.O.C (End of Course). scores

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Monitoring will occur via classroom common teacher formative and summative assessments, cycle assessments

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michael Machado (machadom@pcsb.org)

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Support staff to utilize data to organize scholars to interact with content in manners which differentiate/scaffold instruction to meet the needs of scholar. Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the standards in alignment with district resources and Pre-AP Framework."

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Proficiency data reflects the need to implement the above-mentioned strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1.Biology Instructors will engage in common planning PLC's, district Pre-AP PLC's, and facilitated planning to incorporate AVID's WICOR learning support strategies and Pre-AP shared principles. Review pacing and devise an instructional plan of action based on curriculum guide pacing, content resources, and formative assessments. Teachers will attend professional development in WICOR strategies and content enhancement routines. Administrators will monitor classrooms, provide constructive feedback to teachers, and collaborate to determine the next steps.
- 2.Literacy Coach will support scholars below proficiency in their reading courses by providing students with opportunities to engage in relevant material to support their background knowledge in their Biology course
- 3. The instructor will engage in data chats with students to ensure they are fully aware of the performance towards tested standards and devise an action plan to address area of deficiency.
- 4. Teachers will use common assessments and will review on a consistent basis to determine the next steps.
- Administrator will provide actionable feedback to teachers including AVID and WICOR strategies.

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

#5. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Black/African-American

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:
Include a rationale
that explains how
it was identified as
a critical need from
the data reviewed.

Our current level of performance is 19% proficiency as demonstrated on The FSA ELA. Our goal is to increase it to 30%. The problem/gap is occurring because planning for benchmarks/standards base instruction and high yielding student engagement techniques that also improve the classroom climate and culture for African American Students is not occurring consistently. If planning for benchmarks/standards base instruction and high yielding student engagement techniques that also improve the classroom climate and culture for African American Students would occur more effectively and efficiently proficiency levels would increase by 12%

Measurable
Outcome:
State the specific
measurable
outcome the
school plans to
achieve. This
should be a data
based, objective
outcome.

Our current level of performance is 19% proficiency as demonstrated on The FSA ELA. Our goal is to increase to 30%.

Monitoring:
Describe how this
Area of Focus will
be monitored for
the desired
outcome.

This areas will be monitored through common assessment, cycle assessment, and summative assessments.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Evidence-based

Barry Brown (brownba@pcsb.org)

Strategy:
Describe the
evidence-based
strategy being
implemented for
this Area of Focus.

Increase standards based instruction, student engagement strategies, restorative practices, and effective common planning amongst reading instructors and core content instructors (ELA, Science, Social Studies).

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Standards Base Instruction, Climate and culture, Student engagement strategies, and standards base planning are the keys to our AA student academic growth.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Standards Base Instruction
- 2. Climate and culture
- 3. Student engagement strategies
- 4. Standards based planning

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

#6. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Our current level of proficiency is 26%, as evidenced in FSA ELA. We expect our performance level to be 42% by the end of the 2022-2023 school term. The problem/gap is occurring because standards-based planning and student center instruction with rigor for ESE students is not occurring. If standards-based planning and student-centered instruction with rigor for ESE Students would occur, proficiency would be increased by 16%.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy. The percent of ESE students below ELA proficiency will increase from 26% to

42%, as measured by FAST Assessment.

Monitoring will occur via teacher assessments, cycle assessments and a

variety of other formative assessments.

Dejuan Patrick (patrickd@pcsb.org)

Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each student. Ensure that students requiring ESE services receive instruction designed to teach students to advocate for their academic, social and emotional needs.

The problem/gap is occurring because standards-based planning and student-centered instruction with rigor for ESE students is not occurring.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Implement a process for placing students requiring ESE services in master schedules first in order to optimize service delivery.
- 2. Provide for ongoing collaboration with all stakeholders including general education teachers, administrators, parents and school-based staff that support the student.
- 3. Teach rules and expectations and then provide opportunities for students to show understanding by monitoring own behavior and/or by responding to positive behavior supports and interventions.
- 4. Plan intentionally for specially designed instruction to address IEP goals and grade level standards

- 5. Administrator will monitor all action steps for implementation, provide feedback and prescribe retraining and/or coaching as needed.
- 6. Following DWT, ESE teachers will participate in monthly data chats to monitor SDI and student goals.

Person Responsible

Dejuan Patrick (patrickd@pcsb.org)

#7. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Graduation

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Our Acceleration for SY 21-22 was 53%, decreasing from 59% the prior year. We expect our acceleration to be 57% for the 22-23 school year as demonstrated by AP qualifying scores, Industry Certifications and Dual Enrollment course grades of a "C" or higher.

Our current AP course enrollment is a total of 436 scholars, 38% of whom are African-American, however our total school enrollment is 56% African-American, leaving a 18% gap in African-American representation in AP courses.

Measurable Outcome:
State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

We expect our acceleration to be 57% for the 22-23 school year as demonstrated by AP qualifying scores, Industry Certifications and Dual Enrollment course grades of a "C" or higher.

Gibbs HS will reduce the 18% opportunity gap for AP course enrollment by 8% for the 2023-24 school year.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Admin and school counselors will monitor AP & Dual Enrollment coursework, Industry Certifications earned. We will also monitor course requests during the registration period in January 2023. Team will use student data to determine additional students who should enroll in AP courses.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Derek Weston (westond@pcsb.org)

Evidence-based Strategy:
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Increase enrollment in AVID courses to support students enrolling in an AP course.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

AVID provides additional supports for students by teaching organization, note-taking, and study strategies to be successful in advanced courses.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Conduct targeted recruiting for AVID courses by examining student data.

Person Responsible Michael Machado (machadom@pcsb.org)

Monitor student success in AP courses by analyzing student grades with midterm progress reports.

Person Responsible Derek Weston (westond@pcsb.org)

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Gibbs High School will effectively communicate with families about their students' progress, school processes/practices, and all school related activities. This communication will be facilitated through school messenger communications, the school website, and social media outlets. GHS will purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students academic, social, and emotional needs. Faculty and staff will intentionally building positive relationships with families, community partners, and all stakeholders through relevant school activities.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Faculty, staff, scholars, parents, outside groups/organizations, volunteers, community organizations, and businesses will be called upon by this administration to support school-wide initiatives that will assist in maintaining our positive school culture and school community.